

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,814	12/01/2003	Shotaro Takemoto	16224Z	5568	
23389	7590 -10/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER .	
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			SEVERSON	SEVERSON, RYAN J	
SUITE 300	ICITY PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			3731		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		: '		
Office Action Summers		10/724,814	TAKEMOTO ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ryan Severson	3731	
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication app od for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address ·	
٧	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communic (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Statu	ıs			
_ 2a	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Second</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		s is
Disp	osition of Claims			•
5 6	 Claim(s) 17-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-21 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 17 and 22-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.		·
Appl	ication Papers		•	
10	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 April 2004</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the one Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	\square accepted or b) \square objected to liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents application from the Internation for a list of the pri	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
1) 🛭 2) 🔲 3) 🔯	nment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/01/2003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/724,814 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Invention I, an endoscopic treatment device, and Species 21 in the reply filed on September 25, 2006 is acknowledged.

- 2. Claims 18-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on September 25, 2006.
- 3. Claim 21 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on September 25, 2006.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to because: Reference numeral 144 on the bottom left of Figure 42 is pointing to tape 143. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary

Application/Control Number: 10/724,814 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 17 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Whitfield et al. (5,470,338). Whitfield et al. reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including: a "needle" (12 and 14) with a "thread" (52) fixed thereon; a "recovery member" (see Figure 18) capable of recovering the needle, having an outer periphery portion (the external face of the recovery member) with a "groove" (see Figure 18, the "X" shape in the center of the recovery member) and an "inner hole" (see Figure 18, the holes at the ends of the "X" shape, which provide access to the inner portion of the device and trap the needle); a "guide" formed in an elongated shape (48); an "elongated circular member" (36) capable of being inserted into the guide; and at least one "arm" (57 and 59) provided at a distal end of the elongated circular member in

Art Unit: 3731

Whitfield et al. reference when the elongated member is moved distally, forcing the camming pins (64, 66) distally into contact with the arms (57 and 59), and therefore causing the arms to press against the tip of the needle and the recovery member.

Regarding claim 24, the device has two arms (57 and 59) positioned facing eachother (see the exploded configuration in Figure 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitfield et al. (5,470,338) as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of Shimomura et al. (3,839,772). Whitfield et al. reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including: a needle, a recovery member with a groove and inner hole, a guide, an elongated circular member, and at least one arm provided at the distal end of the

Art Unit: 3731

circular member. However, Whitfield et al. reference does not disclose that the arms be made of an elastic metal. Attention is drawn to Shimomura et al. reference, which teaches the use of an elastic lever (see column 3, Lines 6-10) to prevent backlash and maintain a strong press against the part being engaged without permanently deforming the lever due to excess stress placed upon it by the pressing means and the part being engaged. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the arms of Whitfield et al. reference of an elastic member, as taught by Shimomura et al. reference, to prevent backlash and maintain a strong press against the part being engaged without permanently deforming the lever due to excess stress placed upon it by the pressing means and the part being engaged.

7. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitfield et al. (5,470,338) in view of Shimomura et al. (3,839,772) as applied to claim 22 above, and further in view of Ouchi (6,013,095). Whitfield et al. reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including: a needle, a recovery member with a groove and inner hole, a guide, an elongated circular member, and at least one arm provided at the distal end of the circular member, the arms being made from an elastic member as taught by Shimomura et al. reference. However, neither Whitfield et al. nor Shimomura et al. references disclose that the arms be made of an elastic metal. Attention is drawn to Ouchi reference, which teaches that an elastic member is metal (see Column 4, Lines 57-59) to provide maximum strength during clamping or pressing while still maintaining the desired elastic characteristics. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the

Art Unit: 3731

arms of Whitfield et al. reference as an elastic lever member, as taught by Shimomura et al. reference, with the elastic lever member being metal, as taught by Ouchi reference to provide maximum strength during clamping or pressing while still maintaining the desired elastic characteristics.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

8. Claim 17 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 17 of copending Application No. 10/958,801. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Severson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3142. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/724,814

Art Unit: 3731

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

September 27, 2006

ANHTUAN T. NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7